

*[No Minutes Were Generated on Monday, November 12, 2001,
due to the Court's Observance of Veterans Day holiday.]*

1925

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2001
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

2nd Dist. People, Respondent

B142364 v.

Div. 1 Roger L. Reed, Appellant

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 24, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

2nd Dist. People, Respondent

B143922 v.

Adron Consahundri Cowains, Appellant

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 16, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

2nd Dist. Jonathan Gbenekama, Plaintiff and Appellant

B142083 v.

Div. 7 Andrew M. Wyatt, Defendant and Respondent

S100176 The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including November 29, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

6th Dist. In re Eric Bernard Bryant

H022513 on

S100907 Habeas Corpus

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including December 24, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

S090420 Joseph Naegele et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants

v.

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. et al., Defendants and Respondents

On joint application of appellants and respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to amici curiae briefs is extended to and including January 11, 2002.

S091457 In re Richard Johnson
 on
 Habeas Corpus

The application of petitioner for an extension of time is hereby granted and it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the Attorney General's informal response is extended to and including November 17, 2001.

S098266 Cadence Design Systems, Plaintiff and Appellant
 v.
 Avant! Corporation, Defendant and Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including December 30, 2001.

S100136 Korea Supply Company, Plaintiff and Appellant
 v.

Lockheed Martin Corporation et al., Defendants and Respondents

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondents' opening brief on the merits is extended to and including January 22, 2002.

S099791 People, Respondent
 v.

Deandre Maurice Lambert, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Appellate Defenders Inc. is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S099547 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
 For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 17, 2001, effective September 1, 2001, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the names of:

Gary Joseph Gough, #98523
Rachel C. Shapiro, #163643
Jeffrey Bryan Smith, #150095
David Charles Roberts, #89984
Walter Harrison Kubelun, #155967

S100159 In re **Stephen M. Losh** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Stephen M. Losh, State Bar No. 127508**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 30, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S100290 In re **Terrance Robert Mcknight** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Terrance Robert Mcknight, State Bar No. 76362**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on June 21, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S100486 In re **David Edward Klein** on Discipline

It is ordered that **David Edward Klein, State Bar No. 61739**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on July 24, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S100601 In re **Denise Davis Moorehead** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Denise Davis Moorehead, State Bar No. 136369**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for one year and until she provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until she makes restitution to (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed July 19, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that respondent perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S100659 In re **Ali Morad Farahmand** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Ali Morad Farahmand, State Bar No. 150402**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on July 27, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002 and 2003.

S100660 In re **Mccall B. Kuhne** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mccall B. Kuhne, State Bar No. 133483**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including nine months actual suspension and restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on July 24, 2001. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.
*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S100661 In re **William Mihrtad Paparian** on Discipline

It is ordered that **William Mihrtad Paparian, State Bar No. 86598**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on July 24, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional

Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

- S101875 In the Matter of the Resignation of **George Aye, Jr.**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **George Aye, Jr., State Bar No. 17556**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101876 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Donald Edward Greco**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Donald Edward Greco, State Bar No. 53644**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101877 In the Matter of the Resignation of **James K. Norman**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **James K. Norman, State Bar No. 33931**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101878 In the Matter of the Resignation of **David R. Reisman**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **David R. Reisman, State Bar No. 31583**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

